Case 8	3:15-cv-01535-JLS-DFM	Document 13	Filed 12/28/15	Page 1 of 6	Page ID #:47			
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8			DISTRICT CO					
9	CEN	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10			1					
11	SASHA SUCHITE		CASE NO: 8:15-cv-0153	85–JLS–DFM]			
12	Plaintiff(s	s),	ORDER SET		DULING			
13	v.		CONFERENC		D) (
14	KOHL'S CORP.,, et al.		February 12, 2		PM,			
15			COURTROO	M 10-A				
16	Defendant	(s).						
17								
18	READ THIS ORDER CAREFULLY. IT DIFFERS IN SOME RESPECT							
19	FROM THE LOCAL	FROM THE LOCAL RULES.						
20	This case has been	This case has been assigned to Judge Josephine L. Staton. If plaintiff has						
21	not already served the complaint (or any amendment thereto) on all defendants,							
22	plaintiff shall promptly	plaintiff shall promptly do so and shall file proofs of service within three (3) days						
23	thereafter. Defendants	also shall timel	y serve and file t	heir responsiv	ve pleadings			
24	and file proofs of servi	I file proofs of service within three days thereafter.						
25	This case is set fo	This case is set for a scheduling conference under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)						
26	on the date and time sta	on the date and time stated in the caption of this Order, in Courtroom 10A of the						
27	Ronald Reagan Federal Building and United States Courthouse, 411 West Fourth							
28	Street, Santa Ana, California. Unless excused for good cause shown in advance of							

Case §:15-cv-01535-JLS-DFM Document 13 Filed 12/28/15 Page 2 of 6 Page ID #:48 scheduling conference, **lead counsel** shall appear at the scheduling conference 2 and at all pretrial hearings fully informed concerning the facts of the case. If the 3 Court determines that a Scheduling Order can be issued based on the Joint Rule 26(f) Report, the scheduling conference will be vacated. 4 5 Attached to this Order, as Exhibit A, are the Court's presumptive dates. 6 Parties wishing to deviate from this schedule shall provide the Court with reasons 7 for each suggested change. The parties must complete Exhibit A with specific 8 dates. A proposed Scheduling Order without a fully completed Exhibit A 9 will be rejected by the Court and may subject the parties to sanctions. 10 1. Joint Rule 26(f) Report 11 As provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), the parties shall meet at least 21 days before the scheduling conference and file a Joint 26(f) Report ("Report") no later 12 13 14 days before the date set for the scheduling conference. The Report shall be drafted by plaintiff (unless the parties agree otherwise), but shall be submitted and 14 15 signed jointly. "Jointly" contemplates a single report, regardless of how many 16 separately-represented parties there are. The Report shall separately address all 17 matters described below, which include those required to be discussed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and Local Rule 26: 18 Statement of the case: a short synopsis (not to exceed two pages) of 19 a. the main claims, counterclaims, and affirmative defenses. 20 b. <u>Legal issues</u>: a brief description of the key legal issues, including any 21 22 unusual substantive, procedural, or evidentiary issues. 23 <u>Damages</u>: the realistic range of provable damages. c. d. 24 <u>Insurance</u>: whether there is insurance coverage, the extent of coverage, and whether there is a reservation of rights 25 Motions: statement of the likelihood of motions seeking to add other e. 26

Manual for Complex Litigation: whether all or part of the procedures

parties or claims, file amended pleadings, transfer venue, etc.

27

28

f.

the time estimate for trial given in the Report exceeds four court days,

28

- m. <u>Trial counsel</u>: the name(s) of the attorney(s) who will try the case
- n. <u>Independent Expert or Master</u>: whether this is a case in which the Court should consider appointing a master pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.
 - P. 53 or an independent scientific expert. (The appointment of a master may be especially appropriate if there are likely to be substantial discovery disputes, numerous claims to be construed in connection with a summary judgment motion, a lengthy Daubert hearing, a resolution of a difficult computation of damages, etc.)
- o. Other issues: a statement of any other issues affecting the status or management of the case (*e.g.*, unusually complicated technical technological issues, disputes over protective orders, extraordinarily voluminous document production, non-English speaking witnesses, discovery in foreign jurisdictions, etc.) and any proposals concerning severance, bifurcation, or other ordering of proof.

The Report should set forth the above-described information under section headings corresponding to those in this Order.

2. Notice to be Provided by Counsel

Plaintiff's counsel or, if plaintiff is appearing *pro se*, defendant's counsel, shall provide this Order to any parties who first appear after the date of this Order and to parties who are known to exist but have not yet entered appearances.

3. Disclosures to Clients

Counsel are ordered to deliver to their respective clients a copy of this Order and of the Court's trial order, which will contain the schedule that the Court sets at the scheduling conference.

4. <u>Utility Patent Cases</u>

In patent cases, the Court intends generally to follow the Northern District of California Patent Local Rules. However, the Court's presumptive schedule differs from that set forth in the Northern District Rules, and where it does, the 2 presumptive schedule controls. In patent cases, counsel should review, prepare, 3 and attach the Court's Joint Rule 26(f) Report patent-specific Exhibit B (in lieu of the Exhibit A). Counsel are directed to access the "Judges' Requirements 4 5 and Procedures" section of the Court's website to obtain a copy of Exhibit B. 6 Because the Court may be unfamiliar with the terminology underlying many 7 litigated patents, the parties should jointly consider the most efficient and effective 8 manner in which to educate the Court regarding that technology. Counsel must 9 file a joint status report setting forth their proposal(s) no later than 60 days in 10 advance of the claim construction hearing. If the Court deems it appropriate, the Court will schedule an in-Court technology tutorial or make other appropriate 11 orders. Counsel are strongly encouraged to present any educational materials 12 13 in a manner that is free of advocacy and unencumbered by each side's respective 14 litigation position(s). 15 16 The Court thanks the parties and their counsel for their anticipated cooperation 17 in complying with the requirements set forth in this Order. 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 Dated: December 28, 2015 JOSEPHINE L. STATON 20 United States District Judge 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF PRETRIAL AND TRIAL DATES

CASE NAME:

CASE NO:

2

3

4

	Mattan	Weeks Before	Plaintiff(s)	Defendant(s)
	Matter	Trial	Request	Request
	Last Day to File Motions to Add Parties and Amend Pleadings	Scheduling Conference Date plus sixty (60) Days		
	Fact Discovery Cut-Off	21		
	Last Day to Serve Initial Expert Reports	19		
	Last Day to File Motions (Except <i>Daubert</i> and all other Motions in Limine)	19		
	Last Day to Serve Rebuttal Expert Reports	15		
- 	Last Day to Conduct Settlement Proceedings	12		
5	Expert Discovery Cut-Off	11		
,	Last Day to File <i>Daubert</i> Motions	Expert Discovery Cut-Off Date plus seven (7) days		
	Last Day to File Motions in Limine (excluding Daubert Motions)	Final Pre-Trial Conference Date less twenty-eight (28) days		
	Final Pre-Trial Conference (Friday at 1:30 p.m.)	3		
	Last Day to File Proposed Finding of Facts and Conclusions of Law	1		
-	(if court trial) Exhibit Conference (Friday at 3:30 p.m.)	Friday before trial		
	(Friday at 3:30 p.m.) Trial: Jury or Court (Tuesday at 9:00 a.m.)	-		

Exhibit A

Revised: June 30, 2015

27

28